

Instructor

Roger Harris, J.D., CCEP, CFI Assistant Legislative Auditor / Director of Investigative Audit

Course 201 Introduction to Fraud

Description

This course is designed to provide the participant with an introduction to fraud, including the difference between civil and criminal fraud and an understanding of what constitutes malfeasance in office.

Objectives

After this class participants will be able to:

- Define what fraud is
- Understand the differences between criminal fraud, civil fraud, and malfeasance in office, as well as the potential consequences of each of these.

Who Will Benefit


- Elected Officials
- Appointed Officials
- Local Government Employees
- Local Government Auditors


About the Instructor


Roger W. Harris is Assistant Legislative Auditor and Director of Investigative Audit for the Louisiana Legislative Auditor's Office, where he supervises a 17-person investigative audit unit. Roger has over 30 years of experience handling fraud cases as a law enforcement officer, a commercial banker, an attorney and as an investigative auditor. During the course of his career, Roger has successfully pursued and defended alleged fraudsters.


Roger is a Martindale-Hubbell AV Preeminent Rated attorney who previously served as a partner in a regional law firm, general counsel for two state agencies, legal counsel for a legislative committee, faculty member at Louisiana State University, and chairman of a state board that awards benefits to survivors of law enforcement officers and firemen who die in the line of duty. He frequently testifies before legislative committees on proposed legislation and on fraud reports published by the Louisiana Legislative Auditor.


Roger is a Certified Compliance and Ethics Professional, a Certified Forensic Interviewer and holds degrees in finance and law from Louisiana State University.











Opportunity

Circumstances that allow the fraud to occur.

The only factor completely controlled by the organization. Who has access to your assets and records?

Opportunity (continued)

Examples:

- Can I set up vendors and write checks? Makes it easy to have a ghost vendor.
- Do I make bank deposits and reconcile bank account? Makes it easy to steal cash.

Pressure (Incentive)

The reason a person commits fraud. The greater the pressure or incentive, the easier it is to justify

- Financial problems
- Personal problems
- Mental instability

Rationalization

How the fraudster justifies the fraud. Gives one the ability to follow through and commit the fraud.

Fraudster has to make it **"okay" internally** to perform the fraudulent act.

Rationalization

Hardest to prove because it requires you to get into the mind of the fraudster.

Common rationalizations:

- Take money now and pay it back later.
- Think no one will notice.
- Feel entitled because of years of service.
- Reframe definition of wrongdoing.

An increase in any element in the Fraud Triangle increases the risks of fraud.

Anti-fraud controls are built to deter or prevent access and incentive for fraud.

Occupational Fraud

- Internal to an organization
- Using one's occupation for <u>personal</u> <u>enrichment</u> through the deliberate misuse or misapplication of the organization's resources or assets.




1. Asset Misappropriation

- Payment to fictitious employees
- Cash skimming
- Embezzling cash collections
- Issuing payroll checks to employees who are no longer employees
- Travel/expense reimbursement fraud


2. Corruption

- Kickbacks and bribes
- Employees and Board members accepting gifts from vendors
- Awarding contracts to parties related to individuals involved in the decision making process
- Forgery or falsification of documents



3. Financial Statement Fraud


- Inflating Balance Sheet/Fund Balance
- Manipulating fund balances
- Public Information provides unsubstantiated favorable results
- Internal memos give misleading information





Criminal fraud

<u>must</u> be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.







A crime is "conduct which is defined as criminal in this Code, or in other acts of the legislature, or in the constitution of this state."

Source: La. R.S. 14:7

Yogi Berra Quotes

"When you come to a fork in the road, take it."



"I never said most of the things I said."

"You can observe a lot by just watching."

A person who commits fraud

can be charged with a number of crimes in both federal and state court.

Today, we are going to touch upon the two most common ones: Theft and malfeasance in office.

Theft


The misappropriation or **taking** of **anything of value which belongs to another**, either **without** the **consent** of the other to the misappropriation or taking, or by means of fraudulent conduct, practices, or representations.

First sentence of La. R.S. 14:67(A)

Criminal statutes are strictly construed.

This means the prosecution must prove every element of an offense. In this case, it must prove:

- There was a "taking."
- The thing taken had "value."
- It belonged to "another."
- "Fraudulent conduct" was involved.



An intent to deprive the other permanently of whatever may be the subject of the misappropriation or taking is essential.

Second sentence of La. R.S. 14:67(A)

The Penalty for Theft

Depends on the aggregate amount taken:

Amount taken:


Possible Sentence:

\$25,000 and up \$5,000 – 24,999.99 \$1,000-4,999.99 \$0-999.99 0-20 years, plus up to \$50,000 fine 0-10 years, plus up to \$15,000 fine 0-5 years, plus up to \$3,000 fine 0-6 months, plus up to \$1,000 fine

Summary of La. R.S. 14:67(B)

What if I take something, but don't intend to keep it permanently?


Is that a crime?



Unauthorized Use of a Movable

The intentional taking or use of a movable which belongs to another, either without the other's consent, or by means of fraudulent conduct, practices, or representations, but without any intention to deprive the other of the movable permanently.

First sentence of La. R.S. 14:68(A)



Malfeasance in office is committed when any public officer/employee:


(1) Intentionally refuses or fails to perform any duty lawfully required of him; or

La. R.S. 14:134(A)(1)



(3) Knowingly permits any other public officer or public employee, under his authority, to intentionally refuse or fail to perform any duty lawfully required of him, or to perform any such duty in an unlawful manner.

La. R.S. 14:134(A)(3)



In State v. Petitto,¹ a Tangipahoa Parish Councilman was convicted of 2 counts of malfeasance in office and sentenced to consecutive terms of 5 year at hard labor for violating the Code of Governmental Ethics.

¹116 So.3d 761 (La. App. 1 Cir. 4/26/13), writ denied 126 So. 3d 477 (La. 11/22/13)

